

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA  
MONROE DIVISION**

**PAUL KAMENA**

**CIVIL ACTION NO. 05-1801-M**

**VS.**

**SECTION P**

**ALBERTO GONZALES, ET AL.**

**JUDGE JAMES**

**MAGISTRATE JUDGE HAYES**

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

Before the court is the petition for writ of *habeas corpus* (28 U.S.C. §2241) filed by *pro se* petitioner Paul Kamena on October 13, 2005. The government responded to the petition on December 30, 2005, and provided documentation showing that Mr. Kamena was deported on November 4, 2005. (Document No. 9, and exhibits attached thereto). As Mr. Kamena is no longer in custody, **it is recommended that his petition for writ of *habeas corpus* be Dismissed as MOOT.**

Under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(C) and Fed.R.Civ. Proc. 72(b), parties aggrieved by this recommendation have ten (10) business days from service of this report and recommendation to file specific, written objections with the Clerk of Court, unless an extension of time is granted under Fed.R.Civ.P. 6(b). A party may respond to another party's objections within ten (10) days after being served with a copy thereof. Counsel are directed to furnish a courtesy copy of any objections or responses to the District Judge at the time of filing.

A party's failure to file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation set forth above, within 10 days after being served with a copy, shall bar that party, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking on appeal the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the district court. *See Douglass v. U.S.A.A.*, 79 F.3d 1415 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

**THUS DONE AND SIGNED** in Chambers at Monroe, Louisiana, this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of January, 2006.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
KAREN L. HAYES  
U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE